I. Call to order: 3:03 pm

II. Welcome and Introductions

III. Short-Range Transit Plan, Nick Pittman:
   a. Overview
      i. Looking at the next 5–8 years for the transit system
      ii. Evaluate the system to determine needs and shape how CHT thinks about transit
      iii. Team made of project managers with Nelson Nygaard consultants, and includes a policy and technical committee with stakeholders and representatives
      iv. Started in 2017 and focused on needs and goals
      v. 6 goals to achieve with the project
         1. See slide #3 – SRTP Project Goals
         2. See slide #4 - Inputs into SRTP
      vi. Went to public for feedback to determine what was needed and wanted in the transit system
      vii. Feedback included:
         1. More weekend service
         2. Service convenient for those who do not want to own/operate a private vehicle
   b. Prepared scenarios for public comment
      i. Maximum ridership, Weekend service, and Start from scratch
      ii. Received responses and input from online questionnaire, survey, email, and in public meetings
   c. Based on feedback, created The Preferred Alternative, a combination of all 3 scenarios, addressing:
      i. Coverage
      ii. Serve all areas
      iii. High-frequency corridors
      iv. Continue to develop the system based on needs and trying to meets goals
   d. Schedule/Timeline - Moving towards council adoption
   e. Cheryl: Do you have a list of the specific changes?
      i. Nick: Not on slide, but handout has more detail – also see website: 
         https://www.townofchapelhill.org/home/showdocument?id=40561
      ii. SRTP Preferred alternative draft – fig 2 (page 9 of 46)
   f. Cheryl: To give some context for funding and UNC participation:
      i. UNC pays 38% for shared routes
      ii. 100% for UNC park and ride express routes
      iii. Funded by Department Transit Fee, Student transit fee, and parking subsidy ($800K/year)
   g. Derek: Will there be an education campaign coming up?
i. Nick: Yes, after approvals; anticipated for early spring,
ii. Goal to do march April/May for education especially for students before they leave for summer

h. Cheryl: For ACT, how can we submit additional feedback from ACT? Will there be another survey that we can distribute to UNC community?
i. Nick – Yes, there is a link on website to a survey to provide feedback

i. Cheryl: T&P will send link to go to representatives. If ACT members know of other places that we can send out/target groups, please let us know. We will look at listservs/contacts that we've used for previous communication (postdocs, graduate students, undergraduate students, employee forum, Faculty council)

j. Molly: Clarification – is the CPX line going away?
i. Nick: The lease with Carrboro plaza will end in 2020.
ii. JFX will cover the area after that.

k. Derek: How you measuring and assessing success?
i. Nick: Comparing ridership and customer satisfaction

IV. North-South Bus Rapid Transit, Matt Cecil

a. See website and opportunity for feedback
b. Why BRT?
   i. Buses full, leaving riders behind
   ii. Add more buses? Already bus stacking issues and difficulty keeping buses on time

c. BRT is part of local and regional planning and building a network for scalable operation
d. Anticipated 2025 opening
e. BRT would be a private dedicated lane for buses (and emergency vehicles)
f. Buses would also have traffic signal priority
g. Federal small starts for funding
h. Technical and policy committee working on project as well as public engagement to collect feedback (surveys, forums, focus groups)
i. Council selected the Local Preferred Alternative (LPA)
j. Active transportation options – bicycles/pedestrian lanes, multi-use paths on both sides of corridor

k. Will need to complete Traffic analysis of 2035 and 2045

l. $141 M – total; from orange county transit plan about $41 M
m. Federal funding up to $100M
n. Also for state SPOT for $35 M
o. Matt will send handout to Mya for distribution

p. Molly: For the multi-use paths – how far and how wide will they be?
   i. Matt: North street to Eubanks to James Taylor bridge
   ii. Still looking at what is preferred, could be 9 ft path, 3 ft bike 3 ft ped, or combination. 9 feet is the goal.

q. Cheryl: What is the intended improvement/efficiency/timing of routes?
   i. Matt: Increased capacity means buses more on time, less traffic, operate reliably
   ii. Cheryl: What's the efficiency in capital costs?
iii. For CHT likely, ops savings, will be from adjust other local services in
same corridor – more coordination of entire local transit system
r. Wil – preferred option – parking or traffic?
i. Traffic, no on street parking currently there
ii. Part of traffic analysis currently being conducted

V. Other issues
   a. Transportation working group
   b. On demand safe ride
   c. Bike share
   d. Pedal assist bikes
   e. General conversations about point to point and late night travel
   f. Safe walk
   g. Will come back to ACT

VI. Allocations
   a. Student distribution
   b. How we do now
   c. How we see them in the future
   d. Hospital
   e. Process established in late 80's, large and difficult to manage under current
   process
   f. Issues – within departments, are resources being distributed, are employees
   actually on campus? Remote workstations
   g. Asking for feedback from community
   h. Chris – timing implemented fall 2020, or when would departments know about
   this change, need time to prepare for change
   i. Would be a long timeline for feedback, communication, education
   j. Jeff Watson – would it be part of 5-year plan
   k. Perhaps
   l. Rely on advisory committee
   m. 5yp is programmatic and funding
   n. Allocation is big enough that could be implemented outside of a 5yp
   o. Chris – all at once or phased
   p. Will look at models
   q. Look at disruptions
   r. Could look a lot of different ways
   s. Will look at other institutions; bench marking
   t. Depts have different criteria and needs; allows unit to work with needs of their
   own group
   u.

VII. Round Table

VIII. Chastan – students at UNC hospital – medical appointment pass – now registered plate
for weeknight parking -
   a. Can get at security station day of in Dogwood Deck or booth attendant at ACC.
   b. Online – how quickly can the past be distributed?
      i. Possible to have PDF emailed
      ii. Or pick up at office locations
IX. Chris – Is there a S1 Parking Deck update?
   a. Site utilities in Jan, so relocation will occur then
      i. Bid documents go out in November on site utility
      ii. No notification until more firm information

X. Chris: Parking for cancer patients article was written in the Daily Tar Heel. Could you provide additional context?
   a. Cheryl: DTH article was referring to paid parking for the Ambulatory Care Center Lot and Dogwood Deck, across from the Hospital.
   b. Jeff: Hospital has addressed a program for radiation because of the faster turnover rate. Patients stay less than an hour for treatment. Chemo treatments are for longer durations.
   c. Looking at long-term care options for patients.
   d. Cheryl: This was a consideration in the last Five-Year Plan. There were no increases to patient visitor parking while north campus went up by $0.25 ($1.75/hour).
   e. Derek: Patient rates are also consistent with other hospitals across the nation.

XI. Adjournment 4:06 pm
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